



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,026	10/24/2005	Henning Stieglitz	STIEGLITZ-3	6900
20151 7590 01/08/2007 HENRY M FEIEREISEN, LLC 350 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 4714 NEW YORK, NY 10118			EXAMINER LEYSON, JOSEPH S	
			ART UNIT 1722	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/552,026	HENNING STIEGLITZ	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Leyson	1722	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/03/05</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION***Specification***

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet **within the range of 50 to 150 words**. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (l) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

the disclosure (i.e., paragraphs [0001] and [0007]) should not refer to the instant claims because the claims can change during prosecution thereof; and
in paragraph [0033], line 3, "40" should be --60-- as shown by the drawings.
Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 5, --the-- should be inserted before "segments"; and line 16, "a" should be changed to --the--, for minor antecedent basis clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schott, Jr. (U.S. Patent 3,980,418).

Schott, Jr. (U.S. Patent 3,980,418) teaches a calibration device for calibrating extruded continuous profiles, in particular tubes, including a plurality of segment rings which are disposed behind one another and include individual segments 20 and whose inner surface jointly define a calibrating opening for a tube 10 (i.e., figs. 1 and 2), wherein the segments disposed behind one another are combined to a segment block (i.e., fig. 1), and the segments 20 of each segment block are arranged on a support structure 30, a housing 36 for receiving the segment blocks in substantial circular manner such that axially adjacent segments partially overlap in each position in circumferential direction (i.e., figs. 1 and 2), and at least one mounting and operating device 32, 34 connected to each support 30 to restrain the segment blocks, associated to the respective support structure 30, in the housing 36, and to enable an adjustment of each segment block in radial direction (i.e., fig. 2), wherein each mounting and operating device 32, 34 includes two parts, with a first part 32 connected with the support structure 30, and a second part 34 received in the housing 36, and wherein both parts are detachably connected with one another (i.e., fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 198 43 340.

DE 198 43 340 discloses a calibration device for calibrating extruded continuous profiles, in particular tubes, including a plurality of segment rings which are disposed behind one another and include individual segments 40, 42, 43 and whose inner surface jointly define a calibrating opening for a tube 10 (i.e., fig. 2), wherein the segments disposed behind one another are combined to a segment block (i.e., figs. 2 and 3), and the segments of each segment block are arranged on a support structure (the two rods not labeled but shown in figs. 2 and 3 which intersect a plurality of segments in a longitudinal direction), a housing 44 for receiving the segment blocks in substantial circular manner such that axially adjacent segments partially overlap in each position in circumferential direction (i.e., figs. 2 and 3), and two threaded spindles in axially offset relationship extend through driven gear nuts 45 (i.e., fig. 3), the two spindles being connected to each support to restrain the segment blocks, associated to the respective support structure, in the housing, and to enable an adjustment of each segment block in radial direction (i.e., figs. 2 and 3), wherein each spindle includes two parts, with a first part connected with the support structure, and a second part received in the housing (i.e., figs. 2 and 3). However, DE 198 43 340 does not disclose both parts of the spindle being detachably connected with one another.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify both parts of the spindles of DE 198 43 340 to be detachably connected because such a modification would merely make the spindle separable into two separate parts which is well within one of ordinary skill in the art, In re Dulberg, 289 F.2d 522, 523, 129 USPQ 348, 349 (CCPA 1961).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 6 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest a calibration device as recited instant claims 6 and 7, particularly wherein the spindle includes the first spindle mounting and the spindle rod, wherein the spindle mounting is connected to the support structure and the spindle rod is received at substantial precision fit in the spindle sleeve provided with the outer thread, and wherein the spindle mounting and the spindle sleeve are securable relative to one another.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dow (U.W. Patent 2,847,036) and Greve (U.S. Patent 5,480,295) are cited as of interest to show the state of the art.
12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Leyson whose telephone number is (571) 272-5061. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gupta Yogendra can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


JL


ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300 / 1700

1/3/07